





Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Salmonella Enterica* Serovar Infantis Isolates from Poultry: a review

Zahra Moulana¹  & Fariba Asgharpour² 

¹Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

²Department of Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Para-Medicine, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

Poultry Science Journal 2022, 10(1): 13-26

Keywords

Poultry
Antimicrobial
Multi-drug resistance
Salmonella Infantis

Corresponding author

Fariba Asgharpour
f_asgharpour@yahoo.com

Article history

Received: December 29, 2021

Revised: June 13, 2022

Accepted: June 14, 2022

Abstract

Salmonella Infantis (*S. Infantis*) is one of the most important zoonotic bacteria, which has become one of the leading public health problems in the world, especially in developing countries. The prevalence of multi-drug resistant (MDR) *S. Infantis* strains has increased worldwide and can be prevented by controlling the use of antibiotics in poultry. The purpose of this review article is to discuss the status of *S. Infantis* antibiotic resistance, especially, its prevalence, detection methods and resistance mechanisms in isolates from poultry samples using search engines such as Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed. Based on our review, *S. Infantis* was the most prevalent serovar in poultry accompanied by an enhancing number of resistance genes in these strains. The use of different genotypic and genetic methods can rapidly detect the presence of *Salmonella* in suspicious specimens to prevent disease and epidemics. Genes such as *invA*, *hilA* and *fliC* were most commonly used genes in the detection of *Salmonella*, and other genes were *viaB*, *spv*, *fliJB*, *rfbJ* and *16Sr* RNA. The results of studies emphasize that poultry could act as reservoirs of MDR with a high tendency for dissemination. Resistance to the beta-lactam family is an important issue, because antibiotics such as beta-lactams are the best candidates for the treatment of salmonellosis, and this has raised concerns in the treatment of invasive *Salmonella*. These findings highlight the need to find ways to manage and reduce the impact of antibiotic use in poultry and prevent the transmission of antibiotic-resistant *S. Infantis* to the human food chain and to find potential alternatives to antibiotics.

Introduction

Salmonella enterica serovar Infantis (*S. Infantis*) is a gram-negative rod-shaped bacterium that can infect humans and poultry, especially chickens. Six subspecies and more than 2700 serotypes have been reported for *Salmonella enterica* (Wajid *et al.*, 2019). This bacterium is a zoonotic pathogen, so its serotypes can circulate between poultry, humans and livestock via direct contact with vegetables or by consuming animal-sourced foods such as meat, milk, and eggs (Moradi Bidhendi, 2016; Libera *et al.*, 2022; Ferrari *et al.*, 2019). It is one of the most common pathogenic bacteria in food animals, the sixth most common bacterium in the United States and the third one in Europe after *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* (Aviv *et al.*, 2016). *S. Infantis* is mainly present in the

poultry industry worldwide and is a major cause of salmonellosis in poultry. This bacterium can cause a variety of infections in humans and a number of animal species and therefore is associated with significant economic losses (Rajagopal and Mini, 2013). Salmonellosis is caused by the bacteria *salmonella*, which can cause diarrhea, fever and stomach cramps in humans. Although serovar Infantis often affects children, it also causes disease in adults and those with a compromised immune system (Ghoddusi *et al.*, 2019). According to the recent reports, approximately 1.3 billion patients are diagnosed with nontyphoidal salmonellosis worldwide each year (Acar *et al.*, 2019). The prevalence of *Salmonella* in humans is mostly related to the consumption of contaminated animal products

(Ghoddusi *et al.*, 2019). Poultry and poultry-related products are well-known reservoirs for transmitting bacteria resistant to antimicrobial agents and antimicrobial resistance genes. Due to the presence of *Salmonella* in poultry as a risk factor for meat and egg contamination, national programs in the European Union (EU) have been set to reduce the prevalence of *Salmonella* serovars. The program led to a significant decline in human salmonellosis cases between 2008 and 2013. However, *Salmonella* is still the leading cause of food-borne outbreaks in the EU (Pate *et al.*, 2019). Also, *S. Infantis* has become an emerging nontyphoidal *Salmonella* and an important worldwide health concern due to the transmission of its resistant strains to humans (European Food Safety Authority, 2017). In recent years, the multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains of *S. Infantis* have increased significantly in the world (Pate *et al.*, 2019; European Food Safety Authority, 2018). Although complete removal of *Salmonella* from poultry is difficult, and clearance and disinfection methods may often be ineffective, the spread of antibiotic resistance from poultry to humans can be prevented by controlling the antibiotics usage (Pate *et al.*, 2019). In many countries, *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* have been identified as the most prevalent serovars in poultry, followed by *S. Infantis* (Moradi Bidhendi *et al.*, 2015).

The purpose of this review article is to investigate the prevalence, detection methods and resistance mechanisms in *S. Infantis*, as an important strain in the zoonotic pathogen, isolated from poultry samples using databases such as Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed.

Prevalence of *Salmonella* *Infantis*

According to poultry studies, *S. Enteritidis* is the most prevalent serovar in Asia, Latin America, Europe, and Africa. Also, *S. Kentucky* and *S. Sofia* are the most prevalent serovars in North America and Oceania, respectively (Ferrari *et al.*, 2019). In recent years, *S. Infantis* has been the most common salmonellosis-causing serotype in the poultry industry due to MDR (Azizpour, 2021). Mori *et al.* (2018) showed that poultry meats and poultry-processing plants were infected with *Salmonella* in Japan. Among the isolates, *S. Infantis* (131/311, 42.2%) was the most common detected serotype. In the study of Mori *et al.* (2018), the prevalence of *Salmonella* in poultry meats was similar to their previous study in 2012. In another study conducted in Japan, among 243 *Salmonella* strains isolated in four consecutive years belonging to three serovars, *S. Infantis* was the most frequent serovar. In the study of Duc *et al.* (2019) in Japan, out of 3071 samples collected from broiler chickens from 2009 to 2011, the proportion of *S. Infantis* isolates decreased from 66% to 50% but increased again to 57.6% in 2012. A declining trend of *Salmonella* in

poultry was also observed in Spain between 1993 and 2006 (55.0% in 1993 and 12.4% in 2006) (Álvarez-Fernández *et al.*, 2012). The declining prevalence of *Salmonella* indicates that EU mandatory measures to reduce the incidence of *Salmonella* in poultry were apparently successful at the time (Álvarez-Fernández *et al.*, 2012). In a study in Korea, of the samples collected from chickens to determine *Salmonella* serotypes, 5 samples of 16 serotypes were *S. Infantis*, and *Salmonella enterica* serovars Montevideo and Virchow were the most common serotypes (Lee *et al.*, 2019). However, previous studies have demonstrated that *S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *S. Infantis* are the most common serotypes causing clinical symptoms of salmonellosis in Korea (Park *et al.*, 2019, Choi *et al.*, 2015). In Pakistan, out of 149 *Salmonella* strains, 54 isolates (36.2%) were confirmed as *S. Infantis* (Wajid *et al.*, 2019). Whereas, of the 787 suspected *Salmonella* specimens isolated from poultry origin from different parts of India (2011- 2016), *S. Gallinarum*, *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* had the highest frequency, followed by *S. Infantis* (2.7%) (Kumar *et al.* 2019). These results show differences in the prevalence of serovar *Infantis* in the two neighboring countries. In Iran, studies conducted on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in poultry showed that *S. Infantis* was the most prevalent serovar, followed by *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* (Ghoddusi *et al.* 2019). However, these three *Salmonella* serotypes also appear to be the predominant strains isolated from poultry in many countries (Cosby *et al.*, 2015, Kagambèga *et al.*, 2013). A high prevalence of *S. Infantis* in chickens (52%- 90%) has also been reported in Iran (Fallah *et al.*, 2013, Ghoddusi *et al.*, 2019, Rahmani *et al.*, 2013).

In one study in Egypt, Ammar *et al.* (2019) examined broiler samples and showed that 15.6% of the samples were infected with *Salmonella*, among which the most *Salmonella* serovars belonged to *S. Enteritidis* with a prevalence of 43.3%, and only 16.6% of the samples were *S. Infantis*. The results of this study were consistent with their previous study (Ammar *et al.*, 2010) and another study conducted in Egypt (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2013). Of the 239 *Salmonella* isolates, the prevalence of *S. Infantis* in Brazilian broilers was 22.6% (Mendonça *et al.*, 2019). In other studies conducted in Brazil, Medeiros *et al.* (2011) reported a much lower prevalence (7.6%) of *S. Infantis*, while Cunha-Neto *et al.* (2018) showed a higher prevalence (35.4%; 11 out of 31 *Salmonella* strains). Also, the prevalence of *S. Infantis* in Srpska was 26.8% (Kalaba *et al.*, 2017), while the prevalence was 94% in the study of Vinuesa-Burgos *et al.* (2019). Of all *Salmonella* serovars, the proportion of *S. Infantis* isolated from poultry sources in Italy increased from 2.3% in 2008 to 22.7% in 2018 (Di Marcantonio *et al.*, 2022). In Slovenia, the number of

S. Infantis isolates from broiler flocks continuously enhanced from 0.7% in 2010 to 11.5% in 2017. Also, it was found that *Salmonella* spp. had the highest incidence rate in broiler meat (26.7% - 28.4%) and *S. Infantis* was the predominant serovar (92% - 100%) (Pate *et al.*, 2019).

In the United States, *S. Infantis* is consistently isolated from chickens and is relatively rare in other animal sources. In addition, the prevalence of *S. Infantis* in poultry meat in the United States was less than 0.4% from 2002 to 2012, while the incidence of human salmonellosis had increased during these years (Ferrari *et al.*, 2019). Although Shah *et al.* (2017) found no significant relationship between an increase in human disease caused by *S. Infantis* and the prevalence of *S. Infantis* in chicken meat.

There are limited reports regarding *S. Infantis* isolated from poultry in different countries; however, this serotype is increasing as a pansensitive MDR phenotype and has been reported in countries such as Germany, Hungary, Italy and Japan, with an increase in human factors in these countries (Shah *et al.*, 2017). In general, the ecology and epidemiology of serovar *Infantis* have not yet been extensively studied. The results obtained from these reports may depend on the study area, the sample collection method, especially the isolation season, and the isolation method. Also, the prevalence of serovars may change over time and be replaced by another serovar (Ghoddusi *et al.*, 2019).

Detection of *Salmonella* and *Salmonella* serovar

Due to the high prevalence of salmonellosis, the identification and control of this disease is of great importance in terms of public health. The detection procedures of *Salmonella* serotype are time-consuming and complex and are sometimes associated with erroneous negative results. Usually, microbiological methods based on culture and examination of microscopic, macroscopic and biochemical properties, along with serological tests, are used to identify *Salmonella* and other bacteria (Shi *et al.*, 2015). In the conventional method for determining *Salmonella* serovars by the phenotype-based White-Kauffmann-Le minor scheme method, bacterial cell surface antigens are detected using antiserum. According to this serological method, a serovar is determined based on the O (somatic), H (flagella) and Vi (capsular) antigens (Brenner *et al.*, 2000). Although the culture method has the ability to study live bacteria and allows further evaluation with biochemical and serological methods to achieve a definite positive result, but this method is time consuming. The use of different genotypic and genetic methods can rapidly detect the presence of *Salmonella* in suspicious specimens to prevent disease and epidemics (Rementeria *et al.*, 2009). Molecular techniques commonly used to describe

bacterial macromolecules have been developed to overcome challenges associated with culture methods. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) has high typing, reproducibility and distinguishes between unrelated strains. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis is a rapid, simple and repeatable method for detecting bacteria. In sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), largely eliminates the effect of structure and charge, and proteins are separated only based on the length of the polypeptide chain. Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis (RAPD-PCR) has the potential to detect polymorphisms throughout the genome. Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis (RAPD-PCR) has the potential to detect polymorphisms throughout the genome. Other techniques such as plasmid profiling, DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF) and RAPD-PCR are also used to determine *Salmonella* and *Salmonella* serovars (Adebowale *et al.*, 2020; Moradi Bidhendi *et al.*, 2015).

One method is the use of molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), which leads to better identification of *Salmonella* serovars due to its high sensitivity, specificity and speed (Hong *et al.*, 2008). This method is based on the normal phenomenon of DNA replication in cells, converting a transcript of a gene into more than one billion transcripts in a matter of hours, allowing even a single bacterial cell to be detected in a sample. The PCR is a rapid and reliable technique using different genes that play a role in the development of pathogenesis in *Salmonella* (Shi *et al.*, 2015; Wei *et al.*, 2019). The genes used in the molecular detection of *Salmonella* include *viaB*, *rjbJ*, *fljB*, *invA*, *fliC*, *spv* and *sefA*. The *16S rRNA* gene is also a preferred phylogenetic marker for the identification of bacteria (Stavnsbjerg *et al.*, 2017). This bacterial gene is similar in length but contains highly conserved regions that vary depending on the species, genus, and family. Out of 149 isolates, Wajid *et al.* (2019) identified 54 isolates as *S. Infantis* with an amplified product of serovar *Infantis*-specific *fliB* gene fragments by PCR. Also, sequence analysis of *16S rRNA* and *fljB* gene amplicons reaffirmed the isolates with 99% similarity as *S. Infantis*. Another gene is *hila*, which encodes transcriptional regulatory proteins to express invasive genes and facilitate the penetration of *Salmonella* into intestinal epithelial cells. Using this gene, the variability of the *Salmonella* population has been shown in different parts of the poultry gastrointestinal tract and their relationship with the function, tasks and physical and chemical environment of these parts (Moradi Bidhendi, 2016). The *InvA* gene, an important virulence gene, has a specific sequence for the genus *Salmonella* that makes it a suitable PCR target for the detection of this bacterium (Salehi *et al.*, 2007). The

presence of this gene in *Salmonella* proves the ability of bacteria to penetrate host epithelial cells and eventually become infected (Lin *et al.* 2007). Another gene to identify *S. Typhi* using PCR is the *viaB* gene. Identification of this gene by the above method for the detection of *S. Typhi* in clinical specimens is a rapid, inexpensive, specific and highly sensitive method (Saadati *et al.*, 2008). On the other hand, this gene is present in all *Salmonella* and can be recruited to detect the genus *Salmonella*. Other genes that can be employed to identify *S. Typhimurium* are *rfbJ*, *fljB*, *invA* and *fliC* and *spv* and *sefA* genes to identify *S. Enteritidis* (Chashni *et al.*, 2009; Mirzaie *et al.*, 2010).

Researchers use different methods such as culture, ELISA and PCR to identify *Salmonella* in their studies (Nair *et al.* 2019). The ELISA is another technique capable of overcoming many of the limitations and disadvantages of other methods. In 1989, researchers used the ELISA method to identify *Salmonella* in food samples (Prusak-Sochaczewski and Luong, 1989; Wu *et al.*, 2014; Hosseinpour *et al.*, 2013). The ELISA method provides acceptable sensitivity and specificity for the detection of *Salmonella* in food, and so commercial kits are a valid screening method to control the production line of food production factories by health organizations (Moradi Bidhendi, 2016; Ardestani *et al.*, 2007).

Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is a molecular technique; the investigation of genetic relationship between isolates under study is a feature of molecular typing methods. The PFGE has high typing, reproducibility and differentiation capabilities, which is one of the best methods in which the typing is performed based on DNA due to the size and number of bands created on the gel, high reproducibility, usability for all human pathogens and the ability to differentiate between unrelated strains. This method can be used to differentiate, detect, classify, and examine the phylogenetic relationship between isolated strains and epidemiological studies (Shi *et al.*, 2015; Moradi Bidhendi, *et al.*, 2015). Rahmani *et al.* (2013) applied PFGE for comparison of genetic relatedness. Among 27 isolates of *S. Infantis* from three Northern provinces in Iran, two distinct PFGE patterns were observed. The PFGE patterns of the isolates were very similar, indicating clonal correlation at different geographical locations. Ahmadi *et al.* (2013) used PFGE method (*Xba*I restriction enzyme) and genetic band similarity, among 40 strains of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Enteritidis, two genetic patterns were obtained which were classified into cluster A and B. The high recognition in this method indicates that different subtypes can exist in a serotype isolated from different geographical areas (Khaki *et al.*, 2013). Pate *et al.* (2019) using the PFGE method divided the *S. Infantis* isolates into five clusters (A- E) with

>90% profile similarity. In the study of Vinueza-Burgos *et al.* (2016) 70 isolates by PFGE method belonged to 11 genotypes, among which eight genotypes (I to VIII) were in the group of *S. Infantis* isolates.

Other methods include RFLP and ERIC-PCR, which use restriction endonuclease and amplification of genetic material in extra-genomic regions and the space between two genes, respectively. In the first method, various enzymes can be used and in the second method, specific primers are used for ERIC regions (Moradi Bidhendi, 2016; Khaki *et al.*, 2013). The RFLP is a rapid, simple and repeatable method. Jong *et al.* (2010) examined 47 isolates of *Salmonella* from 20 different serovars derived from poultry samples in Thailand by *fliC* / *fljB* PCR- RFLP assay using restriction endonucleases of *Mbo* I and *Hha*I. They showed that a combination of *fliC* and *fljB* profiles could differentiate over 80% of the serovars from each other. But, in a study by Khaki *et al.* (2013) *Hha*I for gene *fliC* had a similar band pattern to *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Infantis*, and was unable to differentiate. According to research, the PCR-RFLP method cannot replace serotyping (Wang *et al.*, 2018). In the second method, specific primers are used for ERIC regions. This method has more interspecies and intraspecies differentiation ability compared to RFLP method. Using this method, a large number of suspicious specimens can be fingerprinted in a short time and at a low cost. Examination of genetic diversity of 30 *S. Enteritidis* samples isolated from food and human cases using ERIC-PCR method showed that 29 isolates were in 4 main groups with 95% similarity and 1 isolate in a group with a different pattern. This study showed that there is not much genetic diversity between *S. Enteritidis* strains and the origin of these strains from a single clone is very likely (Salehi *et al.*, 2008).

Other methods of molecular identification include the loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method, which has become more popular due to its speed, sensitivity, specificity and low cost. In this method, DNA is amplified using 4 to 6 specific primers capable of binding completely to 6 to 8 regions of the target sequence and through a sequential process by forming hairpin loop regions and using the DNA polymerase *Bst* enzyme over a period of 60 minutes and isothermal conditions. Due to the mentioned advantages, the application of this method using a specific gene is unique to *S. Typhimurium* serovar (Yang *et al.*, 2016; Moradi *et al.*, 2009).

Molecular methods performed to identify *Salmonella* in different cases in the research showed that *invA*, *hlyA* and *fliC* genes were the most commonly used genes in the detection of *Salmonella*, and other genes were *viaB*, *spv*, *fljB*, *rfbJ* and *16Sr* RNA. Due to the complexity and diversity of

Salmonella serovars, effective methods to identify the most common salmonellosis-related serovars and emerging rare serovars, or the outbreaks of unusual serovars are needed. However, the use of the molecular methods as high sensitivity, low cost and short time approaches mentioned above provides better clarity than traditional serotyping and is, a valuable method for grouping foodborne pathogens.

Drug resistance in *Salmonella* *Infantis*

The emergence of resistance among *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from human, animal and poultry samples has been considered in recent years. The gradual increase in isolated *Salmonella* strains from humans and their resistance to various drugs and antibiotics may be due to the widespread use of these drugs in poultry for food production (Mendonça *et al.*, 2019). Although *Salmonella* infections are often asymptomatic in poultry, eating meat contaminated with microorganisms that are resistant to antibiotics endangers human health. Thus, resistant *Salmonella* can be transmitted to humans through food chains such as poultry and poultry eggs (VT Nair *et al.*, 2018). Unfortunately, the indiscriminate use of these antibiotics on the one hand and the ability of bacteria to transmit drug resistance genes on the other hand have led to an increasing prevalence of resistant strains (Li and Webster, 2018). Because poultry farms use antibiotics extensively, the number of multidrug-resistant bacteria in humans has also increased (Marchello *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, a high percentage of isolates resistant to these drugs, as a warning, can make treatment more difficult. The use of antibiotics to increase the growth, prevention and treatment of animal products also increases the prevalence of resistance among human pathogens such as some *Salmonella* serovars (Mendonça *et al.*, 2019; Singer *et al.*, 2003). The use of antibiotics is the best candidate for the treatment of salmonellosis. Therefore, the emergence of resistance to antibiotics, especially beta-lactams, against invading *Salmonella* has raised concerns in this area. Veterinary drugs can cause antibiotic resistance in human consumers. Hence, reducing the use of antibiotics in veterinary medicine can help reduce therapeutic problems in humans (Mendonça *et al.*, 2019; (VT Nair *et al.*, 2018).

The emergence of resistance in *S. Infantis*, a common serotype isolated from poultry specimens, has raised concerns about the transmission of this resistance to humans; 100% resistance to some antibiotics has also been observed in this serotype (Asgharpour *et al.*, 2014). Wajid *et al.* (2019) reported a high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes in *S. Infantis*. In this study, all 54 *S. Infantis* strains were resistant to at least three antibiotics. Moreover, 12 of the strains were MDR, 31 were XDR (extensively drug-resistant) and 11 were PDR

(pandrug-resistant). The highest resistance (94.4%) was against Pefloxacin, followed by chloramphenicol (83.3%) and imipenem (77.7%). In contrast, *S. Infantis* isolates were most sensitive to ertapenem, cefotaxime and cefixime. Also, the most common resistance genes were *aadA* for aminoglycosides (42.3%), *parE* for quinolones (62.5%), *Int1* for penicillin, (62.9%) *cat3* for chloramphenicol (66.1%) and *blaTEM-1* for beta-lactam (44.4%). As the results showed, the highest prevalence of chloramphenicol-related gene resistance was in *cat3*. All *S. infantis* isolates in the study of Ghodusi *et al.* (2015) were resistant to *floR* and *catI* (phenicols), but none of the isolates was resistant to *tetA* or *tetG* (tetracycline). And other studies, the highest antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* in samples was related to sulfonamide (42.5%) (Mendonça *et al.*, 2019), nalidixic acid (Lee *et al.*, 2019) tetracycline (Ghodusi *et al.*, 2019), nalidixic acid and Ampicillin (Ahmed *et al.*, 2014; Kalaba *et al.*, 2017; Lee *et al.*, 2019). In the meantime, some isolates were resistant to at least four or more antibiotics (Kalaba *et al.*, 2017; Vinueza-Burgos *et al.*, 2019). In a study, 11 cases of *S. Infantis* had MDR, and the most common resistance was to trimethoprim, trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole and sulfonamide antibiotics (Cunha-Neto *et al.*, 2018). Fallah *et al.* (2013) examined 34 *Salmonella* samples isolated from poultry (25/34 *S. Infantis* samples), and reported that all isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid, tetracycline and streptomycin, and only 10% of the samples were resistant to ampicillin. The most common pattern of resistance (34.1%) was resistant to six antibiotics and 6.8% of the strains were resistant to at least three antibiotics. In previous studies, we examined the integron resistance gene in MDR strains of *S. Infantis* and the results showed that 36% of *S. Infantis* isolates carried the *Int1* gene, 42% *Int2* and 4% *Int3*. In addition, 11 strains contained both *Int1* and *Int2* integrons. All tetracycline-resistant strains carried the *tetA* gene and 5 strains carried the *tetB* gene, and all chloramphenicol-resistant isolates contained the *floR* and *catI* genes. Moreover, 18% of streptomycin-resistant *S. Infantis* isolates carried the *strA* gene (Asgharpour *et al.*, 2018; Asgharpour *et al.*, 2014). The results of this study are similar in terms of resistance to the results of Rahmani *et al.* (2013) which isolated 27 samples of *S. Infantis* from three Northern provinces of Iran (Mazandaran, Gilan and Golestan). However, resistance to the isolates was observed in at least six or more antibiotics. In the study of gene resistance, the *Int1* gene resistance was confirmed in all 27 *S. Infantis* specimens. This resistance to *Int1* gene and the number of strains resistant to both *Int1* and *Int2* integrons were higher than the samples isolated from Mazandaran province in the report of Asgharpour *et al.*, (2018), but they were similar in terms of *tetA* gene resistance to determine tetracycline resistance. Resistance to *floR*

(chloramphenicol), *aadA1* (aminoglycosides), *dfrA14* (trimethoprim) and *sulI* (sulfonamides) was observed in some strains of this study (Rahmani *et al.*, 2013). Although the *Int2* gene in a study by Ahmed *et al.* (2014) was not found in four isolated *S. Infantis* and the resistance of these four isolates to Class 1 (*aadA1*), *blaTEM-1*, *floR*, *qnrB* genes was reported.

The results of these studies show that resistance genes in *S. Infantis* strains isolated from poultry are increasing and most of the reported resistance was to the antibiotics nalidixic acid, tetracycline, ampicillin, streptomycin and trimethoprim (Fallah *et al.*, 2013). A review article in Iran from 2010 to 2015 showed that resistance to nalidixic acid increased in human samples and the rate of this resistance was reported to be very high. Observation of resistance to tetracycline and nalidixic acid in humans and poultry indicates the development of resistance genes to these two antibiotics in both cases (Moradi Bidhendi *et al.*, 2015). In addition, the emergence of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics has raised concerns in the treatment of invasive *Salmonella*, which may be due to the presence of beta-lactamase-producing plasmid genes that inactivate the beta-lactam ring and inactivate the drug (Nair *et al.*, 2019).

Due to the increase in antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella* serotypes, especially *S. Infantis*, in food animals, and increased rates of death due to the lack of efficacy of current antibiotics, new and safe antibacterial drugs, as well as rapid detection for the prevention and effective control of antibiotic-resistant pathogens, are required (Di Marcantonio *et al.*, 2022). The use of bacteriophages (phages) is one of the best options to quickly diagnose and reduce the incidence of *Salmonella* and ensure food safety. Although several diagnostic methods have been reported to target *Salmonella* in combination with bacteriophages, the use of new phages combined with antimicrobial technology to detect synergistic effects against pathogens is of interest (Wei *et al.*, 2019).

Mechanism of resistance in *Salmonella* *Infantis*

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance among bacteria has been raised as one of the major public health concerns. One of the causes of bacterial resistance can be the irrational use of antimicrobial drugs or antibiotics (Ahmed *et al.*, 2014). The plasmids that carry drug-resistant genes are easily transported through interspecies gene exchange processes and even different bacterial genera. Aminoglycoside antibiotics such as streptomycin, neomycin, and kanamycin, as well as various beta-lactamases, are examples of genetic mechanisms of plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance. *S. Infantis*, like other *Salmonella* serovars, is resistant to various antimicrobial agents, as reported in several studies (Wajid *et al.*, 2019). Such as the use of antimicrobial drugs against beta-lactams in the treatment of human

and animal infections that has led to the development of resistance to them. According to reports, resistance to various beta-lactams may be due to the production of beta-lactamase enzymes in *Salmonella* serovars. (Souza *et al.*, 2020). The beta-lactamase enzymes can analyze broad - spectrum third - generation cephalosporins, such as ceftazidime, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone and monobactams (aztreonam), and are ineffective on cephamycins (cefotixin and cefotetan) and carbapenems (imipenem and meropenem). Their activity is inhibited by clavulanic acid, sulbactam and tazobactam. These new and broad-spectrum enzymes are known as Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamases (ESBLs) (Naderi Mozajin *et al.*, 2018). *Salmonella* resistance to the beta-lactam family is an important issue because antibiotics such as beta-lactams are the best candidates for the treatment of salmonellosis, and this has raised concerns in the treatment of invasive *Salmonella*. Excessive use of this type of antibiotic on the one hand and the ability of bacteria to transmit resistant genes on the other hand can cause problems in the treatment process (Tate *et al.*, 2017). A study in the United States showed that the pESI-like plasmid was present in most *S. infantis* isolates and its transport rate increased from 2017 to 2018. Also, the prevalence of *S. infantis* carrying the extended-spectrum β -lactamase gene (*blaCTX-M-65*) in raw chicken was reported in the year 2018 in the United States (Mc Millan *et al.*, 2020). The spread of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics such as third-generation cephalosporin is very serious as the first choice against invading *Salmonella*. In such cases, the plasmid genes of *Salmonella*, which provide the enzyme beta-lactamase and inactivate the central core of cephalosporins, make this antibiotic unusable (Yang *et al.*, 2017). The presence of different genes or the development of different mutations in specific genes also leads to the emergence of antimicrobial resistance in different bacteria, for example, aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (AME) are the most common type of aminoglycoside resistance. AME-genes with encode the aminoglycosides acetyltransferase, aminoglycoside nucleotidyltransferase and adenylyltransferase enzymes cause resistance to aminoglycoside drugs, whereas *Salmonella* resistance to quinolones is associated with different types of gene mutations (Matayoshi *et al.*, 2015; Acheampong *et al.*, 2019).

Many of the genes responsible for resistance to gram-negative bacteria are part of the gene cassette in integrons. Integron is a gene of the λ integrase family that performs recombination between two distinct target sites. The integrons are encoded based on their amino acid sequences of integrase and are classified by the *intI* gene. According to recent studies, more than four types of integron classes have been identified.

Table 1. Identification and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Infantis

Authors	Country	Sample	Detection of <i>S. Infantis</i>	Isolate of <i>S. Infantis</i>	Resistance phenotype	Antimicrobial-resistant genes
Wajid <i>et al.</i> 2019	Pakistan	Poultry	Sequencing of 16S RNA and <i>fljB</i> genes	54	Ofloxacin, norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, imipenem, levofloxacin, Doripenem, meropenem, ertapenem, aztreonam, ceftazidime, cefepime, ceftoxime, cefixime, piperacillin, ampicillin, piperacillin/tazobactam, ticarcillin, tobramycin, gentamicin, amikacin,	<i>parE</i> , <i>gyrB</i> , <i>parC</i> , <i>gyrA</i> , <i>Int1</i> , <i>Class I intron</i> , <i>blaTEM-1</i> , <i>blaOXA-1</i> , <i>blaSHV</i> , <i>blaPSE-1</i> , <i>blaTEM</i> , <i>Cls1</i> , <i>intB</i> , <i>IntA</i> , <i>blaCMY-2</i> , <i>blaSHV-12</i> , <i>blaDHA-1</i> , <i>blaSHV-1</i> , <i>blaCTX-M1</i> , <i>blaCTX-M2</i> , <i>blaCTM-M14</i> , <i>blaOXA-2</i> , <i>blaCMY</i> , <i>ampC</i> , <i>blaCTX-M-15</i> , <i>aacA</i> , <i>aadA1</i> , <i>strB</i> , <i>aadB</i> , <i>strA</i> , <i>aphAI-IAB</i> , <i>aacC2</i> , <i>aadA2</i> , <i>aacC</i> , <i>aac (3)-Ia</i> , <i>aac(3)-IIa</i> , <i>aac(3)-Iva</i>
Medeiros <i>et al.</i> 2011	Brazil	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	19	Ampicillin, aztreonam, ceftiofur, florfenico, ST, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, sulfonamide, trimethoprim, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	-
Mendonça <i>et al.</i> 2019	Brazil	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	54	Sulfonamide, tetracycline, amoxicillin, neomycin, trimethoprim, ceftazidime, gentamicin	-
Rahmani <i>et al.</i> 2013	Iran	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	36	Ciprofloxacin, florfenicol, nalidixic acid, spectinomycin, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, trimethoprim	<i>tetA</i> , <i>tetB</i> , <i>tetC</i> , <i>tetD</i> , <i>tetG</i> , <i>aacA</i> , <i>strA</i> , <i>strB</i> , <i>dfrA14</i> , <i>florR</i> , <i>sulII</i> , <i>qacEAII</i> , <i>sulI</i> , <i>qacEA1</i> , <i>Int11</i> , <i>Int12</i> , <i>Int12 variable</i> , <i>gyrA</i> , <i>parC</i>
Fallah <i>et al.</i> 2013	Iran	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	34	Gentamicin, ampicillin, colistin, ceftazidime, amoxi/clavulanic, trimetoprim, chloramphenicol, amoxicillin, streptomycin, tetracyclines, nalidixic acid	-
Asgharpour <i>et al.</i> 2014	Iran	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	50	Nalidixic acid, tetracycline, streptomycin, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim, ceftazidime	class I integron

The rest of Table 1 follows:

Authors	country	sample	Detection of <i>S. Infantis</i>	Isolate of <i>S. Infantis</i>	Resistance phenotype	Antimicrobial-resistant genes
Asgharpour, <i>et al.</i> 2018	Iran	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	48	Ceftazidime, nalidixic acid, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazol, streptomycin, tetracycline	<i>tetA</i> , <i>cat1</i> , <i>flor</i> , <i>Int1</i> , <i>Int2</i> , <i>Int3</i> , <i>tetB</i> , <i>strA</i>
Ghoddusi <i>et al.</i> 2019	Iran	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	38	Ampicillin, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, chloramphenicol, florfenicol, tetracycline, streptomycin, spectinomycin, kanamycin, trimethoprim, sulfonamides,	-
Ammar <i>et al.</i> 2010	Egypt	Broiler chicken	Serotypes	5	Nalidixic acid, cefuroxime, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, cefepime, streptomycin, gentamicin, doxycycline, sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim, ampicillin, ceftriaxone	<i>blaTEM</i> , <i>blaCTX</i> , <i>qnrA</i> , <i>qnrS</i>
Ahmed <i>et al.</i> 2014	Egypt	Broiler chicken	Culture and PCR	4	Ampicillin, aztreonam, cefotetan, cefotaxime, ceftioxitin, gentamicin, kanamycin, oxacillin, spectinomycin, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, streptomycin	Class 1 (<i>aadA1</i>), <i>blaTEM-1</i> , <i>flor</i> , <i>qnrB</i> ,
Acar <i>et al.</i> 2019	USA	Broiler chicken	serotyping, MLST, and PFGE	23	-	<i>aadA</i> , <i>sul1</i> , <i>tetA</i> , <i>tetR</i> , <i>str</i> , <i>tetA</i> , <i>dhfrV</i> , <i>aphA1</i> ,
Mori <i>et al.</i> 2018	Japan	Poultry	Serotypes	113	Ampicillin, cefazolin, streptomycin, tetracycline, cefotaxime, kanamycin, nalidixic acid,	-
Duc <i>et al.</i> 2019	Japan	Broiler chickens	Serotypes	140	Streptomycin, oxytetracycline, sulfamethoxazole, ampicillin, cefotaxime, ceftiofur, kanamycin, ceftioxitin, ofloxacin, chloramphenicol	-
Carfora <i>et al.</i> 2018	Denmark	Broilers chicken	Serotypes	4	Ampicillin, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, colistin, nalidixic acid, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, trimethoprim, chloramphenicol;	<i>aph(3')</i> , <i>blaCTX-M-1</i> , <i>mcr-1.1</i> , <i>sul1</i> , <i>tet(A)</i> , <i>dhfrA1</i> , <i>dhfrA14</i> , <i>aadA1</i> , <i>aadA2</i> , <i>blaTEM-1B</i> , <i>cmfA1</i> , <i>sul3</i>

The rest of Table 1 follows:

Authors	country	sample	Detection of <i>S. Infantis</i>	Isolate of <i>S. Infantis</i>	Resistance phenotype	Antimicrobial-resistant genes
Vinueza-Burgos <i>et al.</i> 2019	Ecuador	Broiler chicken	Serotyping, ERIC PCR, PFGE pattern	66	Nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, cefotaxime, ampicillin, tetracycline, sulfamethoxazole+trimethoprim, chloramphenicol, kanamycin, gentamicin, ceftazidime	-
Mejía <i>et al.</i> 2020	Ecuador	Broiler chicken	Serotyping and PCR	182	Nitrofurantoin, tetracycline, Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, streptomycin, gentamicin, cefotaxime, chloramphenicol fosfomicin, ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, ceftioxin, Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid	-
Sanchez-Salazar <i>et al.</i> 2020	Ecuador	Poultry	PCR	31	Families, aminoglycosides, cephalosporins, phenicol, , nitrofurans, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole, tetracycline.	<i>blaTEM</i> , <i>blaCTX-M</i> group 1, <i>blaCTX-M</i> group 9, <i>su11</i> , <i>tetA</i>
Lee <i>et al.</i> 2019	Korea	Broiler chicken	Serotyping and PCR	5	Ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ceftioxin, ceftiofur, cephalothin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, colistin, florfenicol, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, neomycin, streptomycin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	-
Choi <i>et al.</i> 2015	Korea	Broiler chicken	Serotyping, MLST	8	Ampicillin, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, cephalothin, ceftazolin, streptomycin, tetracycline, nalidixic acid	<i>blaCTX-M-1</i> , <i>blaCTX-M-9</i>
Pate <i>et al.</i> 2019	Slovenia	Broiler chicken	PFGE pattern	87	Ampicillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, streptomycin, tetracycline	-
Kalaba <i>et al.</i> 2017	Srpska	poultry	Serotyping	29	Streptomycin, cefotaxime, cefaclor, cephalixin, ceftazidime, piperidic acid, amoxicillin, nalidixic acid	-

The integrons enable the release of resistance genes across bacteria through transposons and plasmids (Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Rowe-Magnus *et al.*, 2001). Investigation of Class 1 (*int1*), class 2 (*int2*), class 3 (*int3*) integron gene in *S. Infantis* are shown in Table 1.

Also, efflux pumps in bacteria, especially gram-negative bacteria, cause antibiotic resistance by controlling and regulating important proteins that are involved in the removal of toxins, including antimicrobial agents. In a study using the phenotypic method of Ethidium Bromide-Agar Cartwheel (EtBrCW) to identify efflux pump activity, 14 out of 45 isolates of *S. Infantis* (5MDR, 5XDR and 4 PDR) had an active efflux system, and the highest prevalence of genotype from efflux pump belonged to *armA* gene (74.2%) followed by *qnrS* (42.6%) (Wajid *et al.*, 2019; Martins *et al.*, 2013).

Another factor for bacterial infection is swimming motility, a bacterial movement associated with chemotaxis that allows bacteria to track nutrients or prevent the excretion of unwanted substances, which ultimately helps them retain the desired material for colonialization. In a study on resistant isolates of *S. Infantis*, there was a significant difference in swimming mobility ($p = 0.043$) between PDR and MDR isolates (Wajid *et al.*, 2019).

References

- Adebowale OO, Goh S & Good L. 2020. The development of species-specific antisense peptide nucleic acid method for the treatment and detection of viable *Salmonella*. *Heliyon*, 6: e04110. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04110
- Acar S, Bulut E, Stasiewicz MJ & Soyer Y. 2019. Genome analysis of antimicrobial resistance, virulence, and plasmid presence in Turkish *Salmonella* serovar *Infantis* isolates. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 307: 108275. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108275
- Acheampong G, Owusu M, Owusu-Ofori A, Osei I, Sarpong N, Sylverken A, Kung HJ, Cho ST, Kuo CH, Park SE, Marks F, Adu-Sarkodie Y & Owusu-Dabo E. 2019. Chromosomal and plasmid-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance in human *Salmonella enterica* infection in Ghana. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 19: 898-98. DOI: 10.1186/s12879-019-4522-1
- Ahmadi Z, Ranjbar R & Sarshar M. 2013. Genotyping of *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis strains isolated from clinical samples by Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE). *Journal of Isfahan Medical School*, 31: 819-29.
- Ahmed AM, Shimamoto T & Shimamoto T. 2014. Characterization of integrons and resistance genes in multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolated from meat and dairy products in Egypt. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 189: 39-44. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.07.031
- Álvarez-Fernández E, Calleja C, García-Fernández C & Capita R. 2012. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from poultry in Spain: comparison between 1993 and 2006. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 153: 281-7. DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.11.011
- Ammar AMA, Ahmed YAE, Asawy AMI & Ibrahim AA. 2010. Bacteriological studies on *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolated from different sources in Dakhliya governorate. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 56: 125-35. DOI: 10.21608/AVMJ.2010.173813
- Ardestani H, Mousavi Gargari SL, Nazarian SH & Amani J. 2007. Rapid and specific detection of *Salmonella typhimurium* by PCR-ELISA. *Pathobiology Research*, 10: 51-62.
- Ammar A M, Abdeen E E, Abo-Shama U H, Fekry E & Kotb Elmahallawy E. 2019. Molecular characterization of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes among *Salmonella* serovars isolated from broilers in Egypt. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 68: 188-195. DOI: 10.1111/lam.13106
- Asgharpour F, Mahmoud S, Marashi M & Moulana Z. 2018. Molecular detection of class 1, 2 and 3 integrons and some antimicrobial resistance

Conclusion

Based on our review, the use of antibiotics in poultry diets not only causes the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains and their transmission to humans, but also is not economically affordable, and imposes irreparable damage on nutritional health and public health. These results also emphasize that poultry may act as reservoirs of MDR. Additionally, the emergence of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics, as the best candidates for the treatment of salmonellosis, has raised concerns in the treatment of invasive *Salmonella*. Due to the prevalence of MDR strains in this serovar at the international level, further research is needed to monitor and track the transmission and sources of *S. Infantis* domestically as well as internationally. The best way to prevent salmonellosis is to take measures, such as thoroughly cooking animal products, hand washing after handling raw meat or unwashed vegetables and avoiding unpasteurized foods.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support: This study was financially supported by Babol University of Medical Sciences.

- genes in *Salmonella* Infantis isolates. Iranian Journal of Microbiology, 10: 104-10.
- Asgharpour F, Rajabnia R, Ferdosi Shahandashti E, Marashi MA, Khalilian M & Moulana Z. 2014. investigation of class i integron in *salmonella* infantis and its association with drug resistance. Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology, 7(5):e10019. DOI: 10.5812/jjm.10019
- Aviv G, Rahav G & Gal-Mor O. 2016. Horizontal transfer of the *Salmonella enterica* serovar infantis resistance and virulence plasmid pESI to the gut microbiota of warm-blooded hosts. mBio, 7(5):e01395-16. DOI: 10.1128/mBio.01395-16
- Azizpour A. 2021. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of salmonella serotypes in chicken meat of Ardabil, northwestern Iran. Iranian Journal of Medical Microbiology, 15: 232-246.
- Brenner FW, Villar RG, Angulo FJ, Tauxe R & Swaminathan B. 2000. *Salmonella* nomenclature. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 38: 2465-7. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.38.7.2465-2467.2000
- Carfora V, Alba P, Leekitcharoenphon P, Ballarò D, Cordaro G, Di Matteo P & Franco A. 2018. Colistin resistance mediated by mcr-1 in ESBL-producing, multidrug resistant salmonella infantis in broiler chicken industry, Italy (2016–2017). Frontiers in Microbiology, 9(1880). DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01880
- Chashni SHE, Hassanzadeh M, Fard MHB & Mirzaie S. 2009. Characterization of the *Salmonella* isolates from backyard chickens in north of Iran, by serotyping, multiplex PCR and antibiotic resistance analysis. Archives of Razi Institute, 64: 77-83.
- Choi D, Chon JW, Kim HS, Kim DH, Lim JS, Yim JH & Seo KH. 2015. Incidence, antimicrobial resistance, and molecular characteristics of nontyphoidal *salmonella* including extended-spectrum β -lactamase producers in retail chicken meat. Journal of Food Protection, 78: 1932-7. DOI: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-15-145
- Cosby DE, Cox N A, Harrison M A, Wilson JL, Buhr RJ & Fedorka-Cray PJ. 2015. *Salmonella* and antimicrobial resistance in broilers: A review. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 24: 408-426. DOI: 10.3382/japr/pfv038
- Cunha-Neto AD, Carvalho LA, Carvalho RCT, Dos Prazeres Rodrigues D, Mano SB, Figueiredo EES & Conte-Junior CA. 2018. *Salmonella* isolated from chicken carcasses from a slaughterhouse in the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil: antibiotic resistance profile, serotyping, and characterization by repetitive sequence-based PCR system. Poultry Science, 97: 1373-81. DOI:10.3382/ps/pex406
- Di Marcantonio L, Romantini R, Marotta F, Chiaverini A, Zilli K, Abass A, Di Giannatale E, Garofolo G & Janowicz A. 2022. the current landscape of antibiotic resistance of *salmonella* infantis in Italy: The expansion of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producers on a local scale. Frontiers in Microbiology, 13. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.812481
- Duc VM, Nakamoto Y, Fujiwara A, Toyofuku H, Obi T & Chuma T. 2019. Prevalence of *Salmonella* in broiler chickens in Kagoshima, Japan in 2009 to 2012 and the relationship between serovars changing and antimicrobial resistance. BMC Veterinary Research, 15: 108. DOI: 10.1186/s12917-019-1836-6
- European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. 2017. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2016. EFSA Journal European Food Safety Authority, 12;15(12):e05077. DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5077
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), European centre for disease prevention and control (ECDC). 2018. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2017. EFSA Journal European Food Safety Authority, 12;16(12):e05500. DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5500
- Fallah SH, Asgharpour F, Naderian Z & Moulana Z. 2013. Isolation and determination of antibiotic resistance patterns in nontyphoid *salmonella* spp isolated from chicken. International Journal of Enteric Pathogens, 1: 5-9416. DOI: 10.17795/ijep9416
- Ferrari RG, Rosario DKA, Cunha-Neto A, Mano SB, Figueiredo EES & Conte-Junior CA. 2019. Worldwide epidemiology of *salmonella* serovars in animal-based foods: a meta-analysis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 85. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.00591-19.
- Ghoddusi A, Nayeri Fasaee B, Karimi V, Ashrafi Tamai I, Moulana Z. & Zahraei Salehi T. 2015. Molecular identification of *Salmonella* Infantis isolated from backyard chickens and detection of their resistance genes by PCR. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research, 16(3), 293-297. DOI: 10.22099/IJVR.2015.3198
- Ghoddusi A, Nayeri Fasaee B, Zahraei Salehi T & Akbarein H. 2019. Serotype Distribution and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Salmonella* Isolates in Human, Chicken, and Cattle in Iran. Archives of Razi Institute, 74: 259-66. DOI: 10.22092/ari.2018.120267.1190
- Hong Y, Liu T, Lee MD, Hofacre CL, Maier M, White DG, Ayers S, Wang L, Berghaus R &

- Maurer JJ. 2008. Rapid screening of *Salmonella enterica* serovars Enteritidis, Hadar, Heidelberg and Typhimurium using a serologically-correlative allelotyping PCR targeting the O and H antigen alleles. *BMC Microbiology*, 8: 178. DOI: 0.1186/1471-2180-8-178
- Hosseinpour M, Sabokbar A, Bakhtiari A & Parsa S. 2013. Comparison of bacterial culture, ELISA and PCR techniques for detection of *salmonella* in poultry meat samples collected from Tehran. *Journal of Microbial World*, 6: 62-72.
- Ibrahim MA, Emeash HH, Ghoneim NH & Abdel-Halim MA. 2013. Seroepidemiological studies on poultry salmonellosis and its public health importance. *Journal of World's Poultry Research*, 3: 18-23.
- Jong HY, Thae Su Pak, Sanpong P, Wajjwalku W, Sukpuaram T & Amavisit P. 2010. PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism for subtyping of *salmonella* from chicken isolates. *Kasetsart Journal - Natural Science*, 44 : 79 – 83.
- Kagambèga A, Lienemann T, Aulu L, Traoré AS, Barro N, Siitonen A & Haukka K. 2013. Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella enterica* from the feces of cattle, poultry, swine and hedgehogs in Burkina Faso and their comparison to human *Salmonella* isolates. *BMC Microbiology*, 13: 253. DOI:10.1186/1471-2180-13-253
- Kalaba V, Golić B, Sladojević Ž & Kalaba D. 2017. Incidence of *Salmonella* *Infantis* in poultry meat and products and the resistance of isolates to antimicrobials. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 85: 012082. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/85/1/012082
- Khaki P, Moradi Bidhendi S & Ezatpanah E. 2013. PCR-RFLP of isolated *Salmonella* from poultry with Sau3AI and HhaI restriction endonucleases in Arak. *International Journal of Molecular and Clinical Microbiology*, 3: 255-60.
- Kumar Y, Singh V, Kumar G, Gupta NK, & Tahlan A K. 2019. Serovar diversity of *Salmonella* among poultry. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 150: 92-95. DOI: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1798_17
- Lee HJ, Youn SY, Jeong OM, Kim JH, Kim DW, Jeong JY, Kwon YK & Kang MS. 2019. Sequential transmission of *salmonella* in the slaughtering process of chicken in Korea. *Journal of food Science*, 84: 871-876. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.14493
- Li B & Webster TJ. 2018. Bacteria antibiotic resistance: New challenges and opportunities for implant-associated orthopedic infections. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 36: 22-32. DOI: 10.1002/jor.23656
- Libera K, Konieczny K, Grabska J, Szopka W, Augustyniak A & Pomorska-Mól M. 2022. Selected Livestock-Associated Zoonoses as a Growing Challenge for Public Health. *Infectious Disease Reports*, 14: 63–81. DOI: 10.3390/idr14010008
- Lin CL, Chiu CH, Chu C, Huang YC, Lin TY & Ou JT. 2007. A multiplex polymerase chain reaction method for rapid identification of *Citrobacter freundii* and *Salmonella* species, including *Salmonella* Typhi. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infection*, 40: 222-6.
- Marchello CS, Carr SD & Crump JA. 2020. A Systematic Review on Antimicrobial Resistance among *Salmonella* Typhi Worldwide. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 103: 2518-2527. DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0258
- Martins M, McCusker MP, Viveiros M, Couto I, Fanning S, Pagès JM & Amaral L. 2013. A simple method for assessment of MDR bacteria for over-expressed efflux pumps. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 7: 72-82. DOI: 10.2174/1874285801307010072
- Matayoshi M, Kitano T, Sasaki T & Nakamura M. 2015. Resistance phenotypes and genotypes among multiple-antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serovar Choleraesuis strains isolated between 2008 and 2012 from slaughter pigs in Okinawa Prefecture, Japan. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 77: 705-10. DOI: 10.1292/jvms.14-0683
- Mc Millan EA, Wasilenko JL, Tagg KA, Chen JC, Simmons M, Gupta SK, Tillman GE, Folster J, Jackson CR & Frye JG. 2020. Carriage and gene content variability of the pesi-like plasmid associated with *salmonella* *infantis* recently established in United States poultry production. *Genes*, 11:1516. DOI: 10.3390/genes11121516
- Medeiros MA, Oliveira DC, Rodrigues Ddos P & Freitas DR. 2011. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* in chicken carcasses at retail in 15 Brazilian cities. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Publica*, 30: 555-60. DOI: 10.1590/s1020-49892011001200010
- Mejía L, Medina JL, Bayas R, Salazar CS, Villavicencio F, Zapata S & Vinueza-Burgos C. 2020. Genomic epidemiology of salmonella *infantis* in Ecuador: from poultry farms to human infections. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7: 547891. DOI: 10.3389/fvets.2020.547891
- Mendonça EP, de Melo RT, Nalevaiko PC, Monteiro GP, Fonseca BB, Galvão NN, Giombelli A & Rossi DA. 2019. Spread of the serotypes and antimicrobial resistance in strains

- of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from broiler. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 50: 515-22. DOI: 10.1007/s42770-019-00054-w
- Mirzaie S, Hassanzadeh M & Ashrafi I. 2010. Identification and characterization of *Salmonella* isolates from captured house sparrows. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science, 34: 181- 86. DOI:10.3906/vet-0810-43
- Moradi A, Karami A, Hagh Nazari A, Ahmadi Z, Soroori Zanjani R & Javadi S. 2009. Comparison of the PCR and LAMP techniques in the diagnosis of *salmonella* infection. Journal of Advances in Medical and Biomedical Research, 17: 65-77.
- Moradi Bidhendi S. 2016. A review of studies on isolation, diagnosis and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* in Iran. Veterinary Researches and Biological Products, 4: 28-30.
- Moradi Bidhendi S, Alaei F, Khaki P & Ghaderi R. 2015. Identification of Avian *Salmonella* Isolates by PCR-RFLP Analysis of a *fliC* Gene Fragment. Archives of Razi Institute, 70: 1-6. DOI: 10.7508/ARI.2015.01.001
- Mori T, Okamura N, Kishino K, Wada S, Zou B, Nanba T & Ito T. 2018. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *salmonella* serotypes isolated from poultry meat in Japan. Food Safety, 6: 126-29. DOI: 10.14252/foodsafetyfscj.2017019
- Naderi Mozajin M, Khaki P & Noorbakhsh N. 2018. Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella enterica* producing Extended-spectrum B-lactamases (ESBLs) type CMY-2, in poultry. Journal of Gorgan University of Medical Sciences, 20: 109-15.
- Nair S, Patel V, Hickey T Maguire C, Greig DR, Lee W, Godbole G, Grant K & Chattaway MA. 2019. Real-Time PCR assay for differentiation of typhoidal and nontyphoidal *salmonella*. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 57:e00167-19. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00167-19
- Pate M, Mičunovič J, Golob M, Vestby LK & Ocepek M. 2019. *Salmonella* infantis in broiler flocks in Slovenia: The prevalence of multidrug resistant strains with high genetic homogeneity and low biofilm-forming ability. BioMed Research International, 2019: 4981463. DOI: 10.1155/2019/4981463
- Park HR, Kim DM, Yun NR & Kim CM. 2019. Identifying the mechanism underlying treatment failure for *Salmonella* Paratyphi A infection using next-generation sequencing - a case report. BMC Infectious Diseases. 26;19: 191. DOI: 10.1186/s12879-019-3821-x
- Prusak-Sochaczewski E & Luong JH. 1989. An improved ELISA method for the detection of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. The Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 66: 127-35. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1989.tb02462.x
- Rahmani M, Peighambari SM, Svendsen CA, Cavaco LM, Agersø Y & Hendriksen RS. 2013. Molecular clonality and antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella enterica* serovars Enteritidis and Infantis from broilers in three Northern regions of Iran. BMC Veterinary Research, 9: 66. DOI: 10.1186/1746-6148-9-66
- Rajagopal R & Mini M. 2013. Outbreaks of salmonellosis in three different poultry farms of Kerala, India. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, 3: 496-500. DOI: 10.1016/S2221-1691(13)60103-3
- Rementería A, Vivanco AB, Ramirez A, Hernando FL, Bikandi J, Herrera-León S, Echeita A & Garaizar J. 2009. Characterization of a monoclonal antibody directed against *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and serovar. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 75: 1345-54. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01597-08
- Rowe-Magnus DA, Guerout AM, Ploncard P, Dychinco B, Davies J & Mazel D. 2001. The evolutionary history of chromosomal super-integrations provides an ancestry for multiresistant integrations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98: 652-57. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.98.2.652
- Saadati M, Ghorbani N, Barati B, Nazariyan S, Shirazi M, Shirazi MB, Shirzad H & Nakhaei Sistani R. 2008. Identification of *Salmonella* Typhi based on *ViaB* gene by PCR. Journal of Sabzevar University of Medical, 16: 221-27.
- Salehi M, Anamnam M & Mosavari N. 2008. Accurate detection and differentiation of *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolates from farms and conservation of Iranian birds by using the Multiplex PCR. Microbial Biotechnology, 12: 35-42.
- Salehi TZ, Tadjbakhsh H, Atashparvar N, Nadalian MG & Mahzounieh MR. 2007. Detection and identification of *Salmonella* Typhimurium in bovine diarrhoeic fecal samples by immunomagnetic separation and multiplex PCR assay. Zoonoses Public Health, 54: 231-6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2007.01061.x
- Sánchez-Salazar E, Gudiño ME, Sevillano G, Zurita J, Guerrero-López R, Jaramillo K & Calero-Cáceres W. 2020. Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* strains from layer poultry farms in central Ecuador. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 128(5), 1347-1354. DOI: 10.1111/jam.14562
- Shah DH, Paul NC, Sisco WC, Crespo R & Guard J. 2017. Population dynamics and antimicrobial resistance of the most prevalent poultry-

- associated *Salmonella* serotypes. *Poultry Science*, 96, 687-702. DOI: 10.3382/ps/pew342
- Shi C, Singh P, Ranieri ML, Wiedmann M & Moreno Switt AI. 2015. Molecular methods for serovar determination of *Salmonella*. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 41: 309-25.
- Singer RS, Finch R, Wegener HC, Bywater R, Walters J & Lipsitch M. 2003. Antibiotic resistance--the interplay between antibiotic use in animals and human beings. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 3: 47-51. DOI: 10.3109/1040841X.2013.837862
- Souza AIS, Saraiva MMS, Casas MRT, Oliveira GM, Cardozo MV, Benevides VP, Barbosa FO, Freitas Neto OC, Almeida AM & Berchieri A Junior. 2020. High occurrence of β -lactamase-producing *Salmonella* Heidelberg from poultry origin. *PLoS One*, 15: e0230676. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230676
- Stavnsbjerg C, Frimodt-Møller, N, Moser C & Bjarsholt T. 2017. Comparison of two commercial broad-range PCR and sequencing assays for identification of bacteria in culture-negative clinical samples. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 17: 233. DOI: 10.1186/s12879-017-2333-9
- Tate H, Folster JP, Hsu CH, Chen J, Hoffmann M, Li C, Morales C, Tyson GH, Mukherjee S, Brown AC, Green A, Wilson W, Dessai U, Abbott J, Joseph L, Haro J, Ayers S, McDermott PF & Zhao S. 2017. Comparative analysis of extended-spectrum- β -lactamase CTX-M-65-producing *salmonella enterica* serovar infantis isolates from humans, food animals, and retail chickens in the United States. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 61: e00488-17. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.00488-17
- VT Nair D, Venkitanarayanan K & Kollanoor Johnny A. 2018. Antibiotic-resistant *salmonella* in the food supply and the potential role of antibiotic alternatives for control. *Foods*, 7: 167. DOI: 10.3390/foods7100167
- Vinueza-Burgos C, Baquero M, Medina J & De Zutter L. 2019. Occurrence, genotypes and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* collected from the broiler production chain within an integrated poultry company. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 299: 1-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.03.014
- Vinueza-Burgos C, Cevallos M, Ron-Garrido L, Bertrand S & De Zutter L. 2016. Prevalence and diversity of *salmonella* serotypes in Ecuadorian broilers at slaughter age. *PLoS One*, 11: e0159567. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159567
- Wang YU, Pettengill J, Pightling A, Timme R, Allard M, Strain E & Rand H. 2018. Genetic diversity of *salmonella* and listeria isolates from food facilities. *Journal of Food Protection*, 81: 2082-2089. DOI: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-18-093
- Wajid M, Saleemi MK, Sarwar Y & Ali A. 2019. Detection and characterization of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Enterica serovar Infantis as an emerging threat in poultry farms of Faisalabad, Pakistan. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 127: 248-61. DOI: 10.1111/jam.14282
- Wei S, Chelliah R, Rubab M, Oh DH, Uddin MJ & Ahn J. 2019. Bacteriophages as Potential Tools for Detection and Control of *Salmonella* spp. in Food Systems. *Microorganisms*, 7. DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms7110570
- Wei X, You L, Wang D, Huang H, Li S & Wang D. 2019. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular genotyping of *Salmonella* Enterica serovar Enteritidis clinical isolates from Guizhou province of Southwestern China. *PLoS One*, 14: e0221492. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0221492
- Wu W, Li J, Pan D, Li J, Song S, Rong M, Li Z, Gao J & Lu J. 2014. Gold nanoparticle-based enzyme-linked antibody-aptamer sandwich assay for detection of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 6: 16974-81. DOI: 10.1021/am5045828.
- Yang L, Li W, Jiang GZ, Zhang WH, Ding HZ, Liu YH, Zeng ZL & Jiang HX. 2017. Characterization of a P1-like bacteriophage carrying CTX-M-27 in *Salmonella* spp. resistant to third generation cephalosporins isolated from pork in China. *Scientific Reports*, 40710. DOI: 10.1038/srep40710
- Yang Q, Domesle KJ, Wang, F & Ge B. 2016. Rapid detection of *Salmonella* in food and feed by coupling loop-mediated isothermal amplification with bioluminescent assay in real-time. *BMC Microbiology*, 16: 112. DOI: 10.1186/s12866-016-0730-7.
- Zhang AN, Li LG, Ma L, Gillings MR, Tiedje JM & Zhang T. 2018. Conserved phylogenetic distribution and limited antibiotic resistance of class 1 integrons revealed by assessing the bacterial genome and plasmid collection. *Microbiome*, 6:130. DOI: 10.1186/s40168-018-0516-2.