



Effects of Different Levels of Raw and Processed Oak Acorn (*Quercus castaneifolia*) on Performance, Small Intestine Morphology, Ileal Digestibility of Nutrients, Carcass Characteristics and Some Blood Parameters in Broiler Chickens

Rezaei M & Semnaninejad H

Department of Animal Science, College of Animal Science and Fisheries, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Sari, Iran

Poultry Science Journal 2016, 4(2): 127-138

Keywords

Oak acorn
Processing
Digestibility
Broiler chicken

Corresponding author

Mansour Rezaei
mrezaei2000@yahoo.com

Article history

Received: February 5, 2016
Revised: May 25, 2016
Accepted: October 3, 2016

Abstract

This study was conducted to determine the effect of oak acorn (*Quercus castaneifolia*) on performance, small intestine morphology, ileal digestibility of nutrients, carcass characteristics and some blood parameters in broiler chickens. A total of 504 1-d-old male chickens (Ross 308 strain) were divided into seven treatments with four replicates each. Experimental diets were: corn-soybean meal diet (control), raw oak acorn (10% and 20%), soaked oak acorn (10% and 20% oak acorn soaked in water for 24 hrs), and 10% and 20% oak acorn soaked in water for 48 hrs with twice water substitution. Chicks were fed with experimental diets from 1 to 42 days of age. Results showed that body weight, weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio, ileal dry matter, protein digestibility, and small intestine morphology was significantly ($P < 0.05$) deteriorated with the inclusion of raw oak acorn in the diet. Findings showed that water-soaking of oak acorn had significant positive effects in reducing negative impacts of raw seed inclusion in diets ($P < 0.05$), although birds still had significantly deleterious performance criterion compared to the control group. The birds fed raw or water-soaked oak acorn seed had higher relative weight of pancreas and proventriculus compared to the control. At the end of the experiment (42 d) birds fed with raw and processed oak acorn in diet had lower ($P < 0.05$) villus height and villus : crypt depth and higher ($P < 0.05$) crypt depth and goblet cells in duodenum compared to the control diet. In conclusion, using high levels of oak acorn (up to 20%) in broiler diets has severe adverse effects on broiler performance and gut morphology ; however, water-soaking treatment of oak acorn seed has a potential to reduce its negative consequences.

Introduction

Although corn and soybean meal are the most common feed ingredients used in poultry diets in many regions of the world, several countries, including Iran, rely on its import. The

introduction of alternative feed ingredients for animal and poultry nutrition would have to be nutritional and economical. Some studies have showed that applying some alternative feed

ingredients in poultry diets resulted in lower performance usually due to anti-nutrients or inhibitor factors (Nelson *et al.*, 1996; Bouderoua and Selselet-Attou, 2003; Varmaghani *et al.*, 2007; Mohamed and Khadiga., 2008; Sadeghi *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, determination of appropriate levels of alternative feedstuffs into poultry diets and strategies to decrease their negatives effects on animals are a considerable effort.

Oak acorn and oak tree seed (*Quercus castaneifolia*) are alternative feedstuffs used in some countries with accessibility to the plant as food drug and animal feed. Use of oak acorn for animal nutrition is most common in Mediterranean countries (Bouderoua and Selselet-Attou, 2003; Gasmi-Boubaker *et al.*, 2007). Based on available data, more than 50% (16 million hectares) of Iranian forests are composed of oak tree forests which are mainly located along the ridges of Caspian Sea in the north and Zagros mountains in the west (Kafash *et al.*, 2009). Oak tree belongs to the *Quercus* genus of *Fagacea* family. In Iran, the oak genus contains 8 species which exist in the west, north and north western forests. Species include *Q. brantii*, *Q. Castaneifolia*, *Q. Ilex*, *Q. Infectoria Oliv*, *Q. Libani*, *Q. Macranthera*, *Q. Petraea* and *Q. Suber* (Masodi Nejad and Rezazadeh-Azari, 2004). Because of the presence of high percentage of starch (up to 60%) in oak acorns, it has good potential to be used as animal and poultry feed. In addition, oak acorns contain about 7-14.4% lipids and 2-8% protein. Dominant fatty acids in oak acorns include oleic acid (66.8%), palmitic acid (18.4%) and linoleic acid (13.5%) (Bouderoua and Selselet-Attou, 2003; Lopes and Bernardo-Gil., 2005; Varmaghani *et al.*, 2007; Rababah *et al.*, 2008).

It has been well established that many of the anti-nutrients in oak acorn reduce its efficiency in animal nutrition (Bouderoua and Selselet-Attou, 2003; Varmaghani *et al.*, 2007). One of the major anti-nutrients found in oak acorn are tannins (up to 9% of dry matter). Barras *et al.*, (1996) indicated that metabolizable energy content of *Q. Phellos* and *Q. Nuttallii* differed and were 2,330 and 1,440 Kcal/kg dry matter of oak acorn, respectively.

Few studies have been carried out to investigate the impacts of feeding oak acorns with high levels of tannins on broiler feed consumption. Varmaghani *et al.*, (2007) showed that feeding different levels of de-tannificated oak acorns (5, 10, 15 and 20% in diet) to broilers did not have a significant effect on feed intake

compared to corn-soybean meal based diets. It has been shown that tannin content of different species of oak trees reduced from south to north of Alborz mountains as rainfall increased (Masodi Nejad and Rezazadeh Azary, 2004).

The objective of this experiment was to evaluate the effects of two levels of raw and processed oak acorn on broilers performance, ileal-nutrient digestibility, some blood parameters, carcass characteristics and morphology of small intestine.

Materials and Methods

Bird husbandry

All procedures used in this research were approved by Ethic committee of Sari University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Sari, Iran. A total of 504 1-d-old Ross 308 male broiler chicks provided from a local hatchery were allocated to 28 floor pens (1.2 × 1.5 m) arranged in a well-ventilated windowless house and reared at a density of 0.08 m²/bird. Each pen contained 18 chickens and was covered with wood shaving as litter material to a depth of approximately 5 cm and equipped with a bell-type drinker and a tube feeder. In the first two days of the experiment, lighting schedule was continuous but afterwards, a 23L: 1D lighting schedule was used until the end of the experiment. House temperature was maintained at 32°C at the start of the experiment and gradually reduced until 22°C was reached when birds were 24 d old, at which point the temperature was held until the end of the experiment (when birds were 42 d old).

Dietary treatments

Diets were formulated to meet nutritional requirements of broilers according to Ross 308 strain broiler recommendations (Table 1; Aviagen, 2014). Dietary treatments were T₁) basal corn-soybean meal diet (control); T₂) 10% raw oak acorn; T₃) 20% raw oak acorn; T₄) 10% oak acorn soaked in water for 24 hrs, T₅) 20% oak acorn soaked in water for 24 hrs, T₆) 10% oak acorn soaked in water for 48h and T₇) 20% oak acorn soaked in water for 48 hrs. For preparation of treatments T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇, milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) were soaked in tap water (1:10) for 24 or 48 hrs and then air-dried. Oak acorn chemical composition was determined (expressed as %): 91.50 dry matter, 6.20 crude protein, 3.15 total ash, 0.29 calcium, 0.15 total phosphorus and 3.30 ether extract (AOAC, 1994). Anti-nutritional compounds

were also determined (expressed as %): 2.84 total phenol, 2.31 total tannin, 1.93 hydrolysable tannin, and 0.21 condensed tannin. Each treatment was replicated four times. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum*. All diets were provided in mash form.

Measured parameters

This experiment was conducted for 42 d. Bird weight and feed intake were measured by pen. Feed conversion ratio was calculated after adjusting for daily mortality. At the end of the experiment, two birds were randomly selected from each pen and the chickens were weighed and then killed by cervical dislocation. The weights of different gastrointestinal organs included liver without gall-bladder, pancreas, heart, proventriculus, gizzard, small intestine, spleen and bursa were recorded and their relative weights (g/100 g of BW) were determined. Moreover, at 42 d, about 3 mL of blood from each sampled chickens (two birds per pen) were collected in tubes containing EDTA for total hemoglobin (Hemoglobin reagent set, Cat. No. Zistchem) and glucose measurements. The blood samples for serum were clotted at room temperature for approximately 1 hr (tubes without EDTA). Blood samples were then centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 5 min, and serum was obtained. Serum total protein, albumin, and globulin were determined using an automated analyzer.

Ileal digestibility of dry matter and protein was determined by supplementation of 0.35% Cr₂O₃ (Merck company, Germany) to diet as the ingestible marker (Fenton and Fenton, 1979). After killing the broilers, the ileum segment of the small intestine immediately exposed and the digesta samples between Meckel's diverticulum and the cecal junction were taken for measurement of ileal dry matter and crude protein digestibility at 42 d of age. In addition, for evaluation of morphology of small intestine, the different segments of intestine including the duodenum (from the gizzard outlet to the end of the pancreatic loop), jejunum (segment between the pancreatic loop and Meckel's diverticulum) and ileum (segment between Meckel's diverticulum and the cecal junction) were identified. 5-cm samples of the middle part of the each segment of small intestine from two selected broilers of each pen were removed. The segments were flushed with physiological saline and immediately placed in 10% buffered

formalin solution until further morphological evaluation.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed according to the GLM procedure of SAS (1996) as a completely randomized design. Significant differences among treatments were determined at a 0.05 probability by Duncan's multiple range tests. Each pen was used as the experimental unit. Additional comparisons were also performed by subjecting the data to orthogonal contrast.

Results and Discussion

Bird performance

The effects of different levels of raw and processed oak acorn on body weight (BW), body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) are shown in Table 2. Supplementation of diet with raw oak acorn at both 10% and 20% significantly decreased BW, BWG, and feed intake at day 21 and 42 ($P < 0.05$), and these adverse effects were higher, as level of oak acorn increased ($P < 0.05$). Similarly, supplementation of processed oak acorn significantly decreased BW, BWG, and feed intake of broilers in comparison with broilers fed corn-soybean meal based diet. However, adverse effects caused by these diets were lower than those of diets supplemented with raw oak acorns. The FCR in broilers fed with diets supplemented with 20% raw oak acorn significantly increased compared to control and 10% raw oak acorn ($P < 0.05$). Using different levels of processed oak acorn did not have a significant effect on FCR.

In general, our results suggest that using raw oak acorn, particularly at 20% in the diet, significantly decreased bird performance in comparison to control group. These results are similar to those reported by Nowar *et al.* (1994) and Boudroua and Selselet-Attou (2003), who also found that diets supplemented with oak acorn adversely influenced bird performance. Raw oak acorn decreased feed intake and BWG which resulted in a higher FCR because of lower availability of nutrients such as energy and proteins, particularly sulfurous amino acids (Mansoori and Acamovic, 1996; Boudroua and Selselet-Attou, 2003; Mansoori *et al.*, 2007). The reduction in bird performance is associated with tannins in oak acorns, which are inhibitors and major decreasing factors of animals' growth (Farrell and Perez-Maldonado, 2000).

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets (%)

| Item | 1-10d | | | 11- 28d | | | 29- 42d | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|
| | Control | 10% oak acorn | 20% oak acorn | Control | 10% oak acorn | 20% oak acorn | Control | 10% oak acorn | 20% oak acorn |
| Ingredients | | | | | | | | | |
| Yellow corn | 55.76 | 44.04 | 32.30 | 60.15 | 48.44 | 36.71 | 65.67 | 53.94 | 42.22 |
| Soybean meal44%CP | 37.36 | 38.55 | 39.74 | 32.06 | 33.25 | 34.44 | 26.73 | 27.92 | 29.11 |
| Soybean oil | 2.35 | 3.00 | 3.66 | 3.77 | 4.42 | 5.07 | 3.69 | 4.34 | 4.99 |
| Oak acorn | 00.0 | 10.00 | 20.0 | 00.0 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 00.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.88 | 1.86 | 1.84 | 1.66 | 1.64 | 1.63 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 1.67 |
| Oyster shell | 1.22 | 1.14 | 1.07 | 1.12 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 1.13 | 1.05 | 0.98 |
| Salt | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| DL-Methionine | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| L-Lysine | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| Vitamin premix ¹ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Mineral premix ² | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Chemical composition | | | | | | | | | |
| ME (Kcal/kg) | 2,850 | 2,850 | 2,850 | 2,983 | 2,983 | 2,983 | 3,030 | 3,030 | 3,030 |
| CP (%) | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 17.12 | 17.12 | 17.12 |
| SAA (%) | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.758 | 0.758 | 0.758 |
| Met (%) | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.459 | 0.459 | 0.459 |
| Lys (%) | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 0.947 | 0.947 | 0.947 |
| Na (%) | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Ca (%) | 0.947 | 0.947 | 0.947 | 0.852 | 0.852 | 0.852 | 0.852 | 0.852 | 0.852 |
| Available P (%) | 0.474 | 0.474 | 0.474 | 0.426 | 0.426 | 0.426 | 0.426 | 0.426 | 0.426 |

¹The vitamin premix supplied the following per kilogram of diet: vitamin A, 9000 IU; vitamin D3, 2000 IU; vitamin E, 50 IU; vitamin K3, 2 mg; B1, 2 mg; B2, 7 mg; B6, 3 mg; vitamin B12, 0.015 mg; niacin, 30 mg; choline chloride, 250 mg; calcium D-pantothenate, 10 mg; folic acid, 1 mg.

²The mineral premix supplied the following per kilogram of diet: Mn, 100 mg; Fe, 50 mg; Zn, 85 mg; Cu, 10 mg; I, 1 mg; Se, 0.2 mg.

Table 2. Effects of oak level, processing and interaction between level and processing on average body weight (BW), body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of broiler chickens

| Treatments | BW (g) | | | | | | BWG (g) | | | | | | FI (g) | | | | | | FCR | |
|--|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----|--|
| | 1d | 21d | 42d | 1-21 | 21-42 | 1-42 | 1-21 | 21-42 | 1-42 | 1-21 | 21-42 | 1-42 | 1-21 | 21-42 | 1-42 | 1-21 | 21-42 | 1-42 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 46.72 | 743.50 ^a | 2331.62 ^a | 696.78 ^a | 1588.12 ^a | 2313.55 ^a | 1032.25 ^a | 3046.75 ^a | 4079.00 ^a | 1.48 ^a | 1.92 ^b | 1.89 ^b | 1.48 ^a | 1.92 ^b | 1.89 ^b | 1.48 ^a | 1.92 ^b | 1.89 ^b | | |
| Raw oak acorn (R) | 10% | 45.63 | 627.10 ^{de} | 2095.25 ^c | 581.48 ^{cd} | 1468.12 ^b | 2049.63 ^d | 2914.75 ^{bc} | 3817.00 ^{bc} | 1.55 ^a | 2.01 ^b | 1.88 ^a | 1.55 ^a | 2.01 ^b | 1.88 ^a | 1.55 ^a | 2.01 ^b | 1.88 ^a | | |
| Processed oak acorn (P ₂₄) | 20% | 45.78 | 598.69 ^e | 1925.25 ^d | 552.91 ^{bc} | 1330.56 ^c | 1883.47 ^e | 2765.75 ^d | 3497.00 ^d | 1.32 ^b | 2.14 ^a | 1.86 ^a | 1.32 ^b | 2.14 ^a | 1.86 ^a | 1.32 ^b | 2.14 ^a | 1.86 ^a | | |
| Processed oak acorn (P ₄₈) | 10% | 46.88 | 673.72 ^{bc} | 2169.63 ^{bc} | 626.85 ^{cde} | 1495.91 ^{ab} | 2122.75 ^{bc} | 2924.00 ^{bc} | 3827.00 ^{bc} | 1.44 ^{ab} | 1.95 ^b | 1.80 ^b | 1.44 ^{ab} | 1.95 ^b | 1.80 ^b | 1.44 ^{ab} | 1.95 ^b | 1.80 ^b | | |
| Processed oak acorn (P ₄₈) | 20% | 46.09 | 638.18 ^{cde} | 2120.25 ^c | 592.09 ^b | 1482.07 ^b | 2074.16 ^{cd} | 2836.50 ^{cd} | 3730.50 ^c | 1.51 ^a | 1.91 ^b | 1.79 ^b | 1.51 ^a | 1.91 ^b | 1.79 ^b | 1.51 ^a | 1.91 ^b | 1.79 ^b | | |
| SEM | 10% | 46.11 | 704.32 ^b | 2219.13 ^b | 658.23 ^{bcd} | 1514.81 ^{ab} | 2173.04 ^b | 2952.25 ^b | 3792.50 ^c | 1.55 ^a | 1.94 ^b | 1.83 ^{ab} | 1.55 ^a | 1.94 ^b | 1.83 ^{ab} | 1.55 ^a | 1.94 ^b | 1.83 ^{ab} | | |
| P-Value | 20% | 45.88 | 666.85 ^{bcd} | 2156.00 ^{bc} | 620.97 ^{bcd} | 1489.15 ^b | 2110.12 ^{bcd} | 2816.25 ^d | 3792.50 ^c | 1.58 ^a | 1.89 ^b | 1.80 ^b | 1.58 ^a | 1.89 ^b | 1.80 ^b | 1.58 ^a | 1.89 ^b | 1.80 ^b | | |
| | | 0.152 | 9.721 | 25.35 | 9.69 | 18.16 | 26.15 | 19.20 | 35.66 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | | |
| | | 0.217 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0041 | 0.0052 | 0.0085 | 0.0041 | 0.0052 | 0.0085 | 0.0041 | 0.0052 | 0.0085 | | |
| Orthogonal contrast | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control vs. R | NS | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | | |
| Control vs. P ₂₄ | NS | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0132 | <0.0001 | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | | |
| Control vs. P ₄₈ | NS | 0.0015 | 0.0008 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 | 0.0285 | <0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0034 | NS | 0.0003 | 0.0034 | NS | 0.0003 | NS | 0.0034 | 0.0034 | 0.0034 | | |
| R vs. P ₂₄ | NS | 0.0032 | <0.0001 | 0.0040 | 0.0040 | 0.0016 | <0.0001 | NS | 0.0397 | 0.0034 | NS | 0.0397 | 0.0034 | NS | 0.0034 | 0.0034 | 0.0034 | 0.0034 | | |
| R vs. P ₄₈ | NS | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0006 | <0.0001 | NS | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | NS | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | NS | 0.0003 | 0.0053 | 0.0010 | 0.0059 | | |
| P ₂₄ vs. P ₄₈ | NS | 0.0325 | NS | 0.0314 | 0.0314 | NS | NS | NS | 0.0429 | 0.0006 | NS | 0.0429 | 0.0006 | NS | 0.0451 | 0.0451 | NS | NS | | |

^{a-d}Means within a column with no common superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).
P₂₄: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneaefolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 24 hrs.
P₄₈: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneaefolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 48 hrs.
NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$).

The presence of higher concentrations of tannin components (such as condensed and hydrolysable tannin) in diet can negatively affect feed intake and bird growth by disturbing metabolic processes (Cousins *et al.*, 1981; Laurena *et al.*, 1984; Bouderoua and Selselet-Attou, 2003; Mansoori and Acamovic, 2007), damaging mucosal membranes of enterocytes (Yamauchi *et al.*, 1990; Koenig, 1991; Mansoori *et al.*, 2007; Varmaghani *et al.*, 2007) and eliciting astringent effects of tannins (Marzo *et al.*, 1990; Potter and Fuller, 1998; Shimada *et al.*, 2006).

Soaking the oak acorn in water for 24 hrs partially reduced the effects of anti-nutrients of oak acorn, though it did not completely

eliminate the negative effects. Varmaghani *et al.*, (2007) reported that diet supplemented with 20% detannified oak acorn had no significant effect on broiler feed consumption.

Blood parameters

At 42 d of age, experimental treatments had no significant effects on measured parameters of hemoglobin, glucose, and albumin (Table 3). These findings are in contrast with results reported by Bouderoua and Selselet-Attou (2003) and may be due in part to the lower concentration oak acorn we used (10 and 20%) in comparison to the 60% they used. The species of oak acorn was also different.

Table 3. Effects of dietary treatments on serum glucose, hemoglobin, albumin and total protein concentrations at 42 d of age

| Treatments | | Glucose (mg/dL) | Hemoglobin (g/dL) | Albumin (g/dL) | Globulin (g/dL) | Total protein (g/dL) |
|-------------------------------------|-----|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Control (C) | | 179.14 | 6.29 | 1.80 | 1.62 | 3.42 |
| Raw oak acorn (R) | 10% | 201.63 | 5.97 | 1.56 | 1.41 | 2.97 |
| | 20% | 192.57 | 6.31 | 1.34 | 1.21 | 2.55 |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₂₄) | 10% | 190.50 | 5.25 | 1.55 | 1.39 | 2.94 |
| | 20% | 201.00 | 5.85 | 1.54 | 1.38 | 2.93 |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₄₈) | 10% | 184.14 | 5.62 | 1.69 | 1.42 | 2.21 |
| | 20% | 208.25 | 5.94 | 1.27 | 1.15 | 2.42 |
| SEM | | 5.688 | 0.10 | 0.053 | 0.048 | 0.102 |
| P-Value | | 0.8501 | 0.052 | 0.1315 | 0.1315 | 0.1316 |
| Orthogonal contrast | | | | Probability | | |
| Control vs. R | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Control vs. P ₂₄ | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Control vs. P ₄₈ | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| R vs. P ₂₄ | | NS | 0.0036 | NS | NS | NS |
| R vs. P ₄₈ | | NS | 0.0388 | NS | NS | NS |
| P ₂₄ vs. P ₄₈ | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

^{a-d}Means within a column with no common superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

P₂₄: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 24 hrs.

P₄₈: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 48 hrs.

NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$).

Carcass characteristics

At 42 d of age, relative weights of liver, gizzard, abdominal fat, bursa of fabricius, spleen were not affected by dietary treatments (Table 4). However, relative carcass and intestine weights were affected by dietary treatments ($P < 0.05$). Relative carcass weight decreased in diets containing 20% raw oak acorn in compared with treatments containing 10%

raw oak acorn or 10 and 20% processed oak acorn. There was significant difference for relative intestine weight between control group and treatments containing 10 and 20% raw oak acorn or 20% oak acorn processed for 24 h. In general, the presence of oak acorn in diet had no significant effect on other carcass characteristic parameters.

Table 4. Effects of dietary treatments on carcass yield, relative weights of pancreas, liver, proventriculus, gizzard, abdominal fat, bursa, spleen, intestine at 42 d of age (% of live body weight)

| Treatments | Carcass | Liver | Pancreas | Proventriculus | Gizzard | Abdominal fat | Spleen | Intestine |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------------|--------|---------------------|
| Control | 68.93 ^{ab} | 2.50 | 0.219 | 0.396 | 1.65 | 1.79 | 0.108 | 2.74 ^c |
| Raw oak acorn (R) | 10% | 72.28 ^a | 0.280 | 0.468 | 1.61 | 1.75 | 0.100 | 3.26 ^{ab} |
| | 20% | 64.57 ^b | 0.282 | 0.493 | 1.53 | 1.86 | 0.116 | 3.30 ^{ab} |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₂₄) | 10% | 71.24 ^a | 0.285 | 0.463 | 1.62 | 1.58 | 0.103 | 3.17 ^{abc} |
| | 20% | 69.63 ^a | 0.285 | 0.535 | 1.65 | 1.80 | 0.114 | 3.41 ^a |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₄₈) | 10% | 71.06 ^a | 0.224 | 0.405 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 0.113 | 3.05 ^{abc} |
| | 20% | 71.11 ^a | 0.258 | 0.419 | 1.66 | 1.91 | 0.143 | 2.89 ^{bc} |
| SEM | 0.647 | 0.067 | 0.0052 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 0.051 | 0.004 | 0.061 |
| P-Value | 0.0151 | 0.1742 | 0.0838 | 0.4535 | 0.5595 | 0.6938 | 0.0662 | 0.0319 |

Orthogonal contrast

| | Probability | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|----|----|----|--------|----|
| Control vs. R | NS | NS | 0.0202 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Control vs. P ₂₄ | NS | 0.0189 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Control vs. P ₄₈ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| R vs. P ₂₄ | NS | NS | 0.0222 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| R vs. P ₄₈ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.0420 | NS |
| P ₂₄ vs. P ₄₈ | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.0420 | NS |

Values of relative weights of organs expressed as percentage of body weight.

^{a-d}Means within a column with no common superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

P₂₄: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 24 hrs.

P₄₈: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 48 hrs.

NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$).

These results of carcass characteristics were consistent with those of Varmaghani *et al.*, (2007). Liukkonen *et al.*, (2001) reported that feeding grey partridge diets containing 6% tannic acid increased intestine length, which was caused by increased mobility of the gut in favor of detoxification of tannic acid in feed. The enhancement in pancreas size of broilers fed diets with raw or processed oak acorn was a type of hyperplasia in response to tannic acid in the gut which might be due to the secretion of secretin and cholecystokinin (CCK) into blood (Ahmed *et al.*, 1991; Nyachoti *et al.*, 1996). Ahmed *et al.*, (1991) indicated that pancreas size

and activity of trypsin and alpha-amylase increased as the level of tannic acid increased in broiler diet. They reported that the effects of tannin on gut enzymes were mediated by an increase in the release of CCK.

Nutrient digestibility

Ileal digestibility of dry matter and crude protein were significantly reduced in chickens fed raw and processed oak acorn ($P < 0.05$; Table 5). Processing of raw oak acorns by soaking in water could partly decrease negative effects of raw oak acorn on dry matter and to some extent crude protein digestibility.

Table 5. Effects of dietary treatments on dry matter and crude protein digestibility at 42 d of age

| Treatments | Digestibility (%) | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Dry matter | Crude protein |
| Control (C) | 88.82 ^a | 73.92 ^a |
| Raw oak acorn (R) | 10% | 87.04 ^c |
| | 20% | 84.56 ^e |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₂₄) | 10% | 87.63 ^{bc} |
| | 20% | 85.96 ^d |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₄₈) | 10% | 88.49 ^{ab} |
| | 20% | 88.45 ^{ab} |
| SEM | 0.223 | 0.439 |
| P-Value | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| Orthogonal contrast | Probability | |
| Control <i>vs.</i> R | <.0001 | <.0001 |
| Control <i>vs.</i> P ₂₄ | <.0001 | <.0001 |
| Control <i>vs.</i> P ₄₈ | NS | <.0001 |
| R <i>vs.</i> P ₂₄ | 0.0037 | NS |
| R <i>vs.</i> P ₄₈ | <.0001 | 0.0098 |
| P ₂₄ <i>vs.</i> P ₄₈ | <.0001 | NS |

^{a-d}Means within a column with no common superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

P₂₄: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 24 hrs.

P₄₈: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 48 hrs.

NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$).

The negative impacts of oak acorn in ruminants have been previously reported (Laurena *et al.*, 1984; Moujahed *et al.*, 2007). There remains consistency with the effects of raw oak acorns on nutrients digestibility in non-ruminants, particularly poultry. A decline in protein, starch, and organic matter digestibility have been showed in pigs fed diets supplemented with raw oak acorn in comparison with corn-soybean meal based diet

because of the existence of tannin in oak acorns (Nieto *et al.*, 2002; Morales *et al.*, 2003). Schiavone *et al.*, (2008) reported that addition of 0.25% chestnut to broiler diet did not have any significant effect on dry matter, protein and fat digestibility, which may be due to the low concentration of condensed tannin and presence of castalagin in chestnut, which is less toxic and less potent in protein binding compared to tannins (Schiavone *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, the

results of our experiment regarding the effects of oak acorns on nutrient digestibility were similar to other reports (Nieto *et al.*, 2002; Morales *et al.*, 2003). Lower dry matter and protein digestibility of broilers fed diets supplemented with raw and processed oak acorns may be due to the presence of higher levels of condensed tannin which can bind to proteins and some carbohydrates more than hydrolysable tannins (Koenig, 1991).

Cousins *et al.*, (1981) suggested that lower crude protein, proline, glycine, and histidine digestibility might be due to increased gut endogenous secretions of pigs given diets incorporated with high tannin content sorghum. Increases in voided nitrogen components in birds fed diets supplemented with tannic acid may be due to two major reasons: 1) increased mucosal secretions of gut; and 2) increased catabolism of endogenous proteins to detoxify tannins and tannin derivatives in lumen of gut

(Jansman *et al.*, 1993; Mansoori and Acamovic, 1996, 2007; Hassan *et al.*, 2003). Increase in mucin secretion due to the present of tannins in the gut has been well documented in broilers, which can elevate essential amino acids requirements (Yasar and Forbes, 2000; Wu *et al.*, 2004). In these situations, requirements of methionine, cysteine and choline increase so these components act in detoxifying tannins as methyl donors in gut lumen (Potter and Fuller, 1998; Mansoori and Acamovic, 2007).

Small intestine morphology

The effects of raw and processed oak acorns in diet on villus height, crypt depth, villus height-to-crypt depth ratio, and goblet cell counts of duodenal segments of broilers are shown in Table 6. Raw oak acorn significantly decreased villus height and villus height-to-crypt depth ratio and increased crypt depth and goblet cell counts in the duodenum, jejunum and ileum ($P < 0.05$).

Table 6. Effects of experimental treatments on duodenum villus height (μm), crypt depth (μm), villus height-to-crypt depth ratio and goblet cell counts at 42 d of age

| Treatments | | Villus height (μm) | Crypt depth (μm) | Villus: crypt (μm) | Goblet cells (100 μm of villus height) |
|-------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Control (C) | | 1781.78 ^a | 187.01 ^c | 9.54 ^a | 124.00 ^d |
| Raw oak acorn (R) | 10% | 1421.99 ^b | 230.64 ^{ab} | 6.17 ^c | 143.00 ^{bc} |
| | 20% | 1083.45 ^c | 246.91 ^a | 4.41 ^d | 164.25 ^a |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₂₄) | 10% | 1683.45 ^a | 227.69 ^b | 7.43 ^b | 151.38 ^{ab} |
| | 20% | 1488.10 ^b | 240.88 ^{ab} | 6.28 ^c | 151.00 ^{ab} |
| Soaked oak acorn (P ₄₈) | 10% | 1723.38 ^a | 222.43 ^b | 7.78 ^b | 131.33 ^{cd} |
| | 20% | 1470.25 ^b | 226.49 ^b | 6.45 ^c | 141.50 ^{bcd} |
| SEM | | 34.431 | 3.384 | 0.235 | 2.706 |
| P-Value | | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0004 |
| Orthogonal contrast | | Probability | | | |
| Control vs. R | | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Control vs. P ₂₄ | | 0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0004 |
| Control vs. P ₄₈ | | 0.0004 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | NS |
| R vs. P ₂₄ | | <0.0001 | NS | <0.0001 | NS |
| R vs. P ₄₈ | | <0.0001 | 0.0304 | <0.0001 | 0.0064 |
| P ₂₄ vs. P ₄₈ | | NS | NS | NS | 0.0181 |

^{a-d}Means within a column with no common superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

P₂₄: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 24 hrs.

P₄₈: milled oak acorns (*Quercus castaneifolia*) samples were soaked in water (1:10) for 48 hrs.

NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$).

These negative effects worsened when oak acorn dosage increased to 20% ($P < 0.05$). Processing of oak acorn partially improved morphological parameters, and as a result, treatment of 10%

processed oak acorn did not have significant effect on duodenum villus height nor a significant effect compared to control. Crypt depth and goblet cell counts of duodenum

significantly increased in broilers fed 10 and 20% of processed oak acorn ($P < 0.05$). Decrease in villus height was representative of the presence of toxicants in the lumen, which might negatively affect nutrient absorption in the small intestine (Yamauchi *et al.*, 1990; Wu *et al.*, 2004). As crypts are the producing places of the villus, any increases in crypt depth means a greater turnover of gut epithelium, suggestive of repair and reconstruction of villus against inflammations caused by pathogens and toxicants (Yamauchi *et al.*, 1990). Therefore, it can be concluded that the decrease of villus height and increase of crypt depth could decrease nutrient digestibility, increase gut mucosal fluids and negatively affect bird performance (Yamauchi *et al.*, 1990).

As shown in Table 6, with increasing levels of raw and processed oak acorn in diet, villus height and crypt depth of duodenum decreased and increased, respectively, which may be due to deleterious effects of anti-nutritional components of oak acorns on intestine morphological parameters such as hyperplasia and increased turnover of epithelial cells and

subsequently, increased maintenance costs of small intestine. Goblet cells are the preservative cells of the small intestine and have a major role in the conservation of epithelial cells of the small intestine by producing and secreting mucosa (mucin) (Yasar and Forbes, 2000). The increase in goblet cell counts in broilers fed diets supplemented with raw and processed oak acorn may be due to increased mucin secretion from the intestine. Mucin secretion in the gut is a response to increased tannic acid content in the lumen which protects the brush border membrane against negative impacts of tannic acids (Marzo *et al.*, 1990; Mansoori and Acamovic, 1996, 2007).

Conclusion

Based on the results of the present experiment, it can be concluded that using water-soaked oak acorns could partially reduce negative impacts of raw oak acorn on broiler performance, nutrient digestibility, and small intestine morphology, but soaking does not completely eliminate these negative effects.

References

- Ahmed AE, Smithard R & Ellis M. 1991. Activities of enzymes of the pancreas, and the lumen and mucosa of the small intestine in growing broiler cockerels fed on tannin-containing diets. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 65: 189-197. [\[Link\]](#)
- AOAC. 1994. Association of official analytical chemists. *Official Methods of Analysis. Methods of Analysis*. 16th ed. AOAC, Washington, DC. [\[Link\]](#)
- Aviagen. 2014. Ross 308: Broiler Nutrition Specifications. Aviagen, Huntsville, Alabama, USA. [\[Link\]](#)
- Barras SC, Kaminski RM & Brennan LA. 1996. Acorn selection by female wood ducks. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 60: 592-602. [\[Link\]](#)
- Bouderoua K & Selselet-Attou G. 2003. Fatty acid composition of abdominal adipose tissue in broilers fed green-oak (*Quercus Ilex*), cork acorn (*Quercus suber* L.) based diets. *Animal Research*, 52: 377-382. [\[Link\]](#)
- Cousins BW, Tanksley TD, Knabe DA & Zebrowska T. 1981. Nutrient digestibility and performance of pigs fed sorghum varying in tannin concentration. *Journal of Animal Science*. 53: 1524-1537. [\[Link\]](#)
- Farrell DJ & Perez-Maldonado RA. 2000. Tannins in feedstuffs used in the diets of pigs and poultry in Australia. *Proceeding of an International Workshop. Tannins in livestock and human nutrition*. Adelaide, 24-29. [\[Link\]](#)
- Fenton TW & Fenton M. 1979. An improved procedure for the determination of chromic oxide in feed and feces. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 59: 631-634. [\[Link\]](#)
- Gasmi-Boubaker A, Abdouli H, Mosquera Losada MR, Taychi L, Mansouri M & Zadib I. 2007. Cork oak (*quercus suber*) acorn as a substitute for barley in the diet of rabbits; effect of *in vitro* digestibility, growth and carcass characteristics. *Journal of Animal Veterinary Advance*, 6: 1219-1222. [\[link\]](#)
- Hassan IAG, Elzubeir EA & Tinay AHE. 2003. Growth and apparent absorption of minerals in broiler chicks fed diets with low or high tannin contents. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*. 35: 189-196. [\[Link\]](#)
- Jansman AJM, Huisman J & Poel AFB. 1993. Ileal and fecal digestibility in piglets of field beans (*visia faba l.*) varying in tannin content. *Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 42: 83-96. [\[Link\]](#)

- Kafash SB, Bakhshi Khaniki GH & Yousefi B. 2009. Investigation of leaf morphological characteristics of *quercus infectoria* oliv. (aleppo oak) in Kurdistan forests. Pajouhesh-Va-Sazandegi, 79: 135-144. [\[Link\]](#)
- Koenig WD. 1991. The effects of tannins and lipids on digestion of acorns by woodpeckers. The Auk, 108: 79-88. [\[Link\]](#)
- Laurena AC, Van Den T & Mendoza EMT. 1984. Effects of condensed tannins on the *in vitro* digestibility of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) walp.]. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 32: 1045-1048. [\[Link\]](#)
- Liukkonen-Anttila T, Kentala A & Hissa R. 2001. Tannins – a dietary problem for hand-reared grey partridge *Perdix perdix* after release? Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology, 130: 237-248. [\[Link\]](#)
- Lopes MGI & Bernardo-Gil MG. 2005. Characterisation of acorn oils extracted by hexane and by supercritical carbon dioxide. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology 107: 12-19. [\[Link\]](#)
- Mansoori B & Acamovic T. 1996. Effect of tannic acid on endogenous calcium, phosphorous and magnesium losses in broilers. British Poultry Science, 37: S67. [\[Link\]](#)
- Mansoori B & Acamovic T. 2007. The effect of tannic acid on the excretion of endogenous methionine, histidine and lysine with broilers. Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology, 134: 198-210. [\[Link\]](#)
- Marzo F, Tosar A & Santidrian S. 1990. Effect of tannic acid on the immune response of growing chickens. Journal of Animal Science. 68: 3306-3312. [\[Link\]](#)
- Masodi Nejad MR & Rezazadeh Azary M. 2004. Comparison of four methods of tannin extraction from the fruits of oak species in Iran (in Persian with English abstract). Hakim Research Journal, 6: 81-91. [\[Link\]](#)
- Mohamed EA & Khadiga AA. 2008. Effects of dietary levels of processed *leucaena leucocephala* seeds on broiler performance and blood parameters. International Journal of Poultry Science, 7: 423-428. [\[Link\]](#)
- Morales J, Baucells MD, Pérez JF Mourot J & Gasa J. 2003. Body fat content, composition and distribution in landrace and Iberian finishing pigs given *ad libitum* maize- and acorn-sorghum-maize-based diets. Journal of Animal Science, 77: 215-224. [\[Link\]](#)
- Moujahed N, Moujahed-Raach A, Ben Mustapha C, Bel Heni H & Kayouli C. 2007. Effects of nitrogen supplementation of acorn (*Quercus coccifera*) on *in vitro* fermentation, intake and digestion by lambs. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 19: 237-251. [\[Link\]](#)
- Nelson JT, Slack RD & Gee FG. 1996. Nutritional values of winter foods for whooping cranes. The Wilson Bulletin, 108: 728-739. [\[Link\]](#)
- Nieto R, Rivera M, García MA & Aguilera JF. 2002. Amino acid availability and energy value of acorn in the Iberian pig. Livestock Production Science, 77: 227-239. [\[Link\]](#)
- Nowar MS, Al-Shawabkeh K & Nissour H. 1994. Evaluation of oak acorn (*Quercus coccifera*) as untraditional energy feedstuff for complete substitution of corn grains in fattening rabbit ration. Cahiers Options Mediterraneennes (CIHEAM), 177-182. [\[Link\]](#)
- Nyachoti CM, Atkinson JL & Leeson S. 1996. Response of broiler chicks fed a high-tannin sorghum diet. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 5: 239-245. [\[Link\]](#)
- Potter DK & Fuller HL. 1998. Metabolic fate of dietary tannins in chickens. Journal of Nutrition, 96: 187-191. [\[Link\]](#)
- Rababah TM, Ereifej KI, Al-Mahasneh MA, Alhamad MN, Alrababah MA & Al-Muhammad H. 2008. The physicochemical composition of acorns for two Mediterranean *quercus* species. Jordan Journal of Agriculture Science, 4: 131-137. [\[Link\]](#)
- Sadeghi Gh, Pourreza J, Samei A & Rahmani H. 2009. Chemical composition and some anti-nutrient content of raw and processed bitter vetch (*vicia ervilia*) seed for use as feeding stuff in poultry diet. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 41: 85-93. [\[Link\]](#)
- SAS (Statistical Analysis System). 1996. SAS/STAT® 6.12. User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, North Carolina.
- Schiavone A, Guo K, Tassone S, Gasco L, Hernandez E, Denti R & Zoccarato I. 2008. Effects of a natural extract of chestnut wood on digestibility, performance traits and nitrogen balance of broiler chicks. Poultry Science, 87: 521-527. [\[Link\]](#)
- Shimada T, Saitoh T, Sasaki E, Nishitani Y & Osawa R. 2006. Role of tannin-binding salivary proteins and tannase-producing bacteria in the acclimation of the Japanese wood mouse to acorn tannins. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 32: 1165-1180. [\[Link\]](#)

- Varmaghani S, Yaghoubar A, Gharahdaghi AA & Jafari H. 2007. Usage of detannified oak kernel (DOK) in broiler diets. *Pajouhesh-Va-Sazandegi*, 70: 50-58. [\[Link\]](#)
- Wu YB, Ravindran V, Thomas DG, Birtlesl MJ & Hendriks WH. 2004. Influence of method of whole wheat inclusion and xylanase supplementation on the performance, apparent metabolisable energy, digestive tract measurements and gut morphology of broilers. *British Poultry Science*, 45: 385-39. [\[Link\]](#)
- Yamauchi K, Zhou ZX, Ibarrolaza EI, Isshiki Y & Nakahiro Y. 1990. Comparative anatomical observation on each intestinal segment in chickens and waterfowls. *Kagawa Daigaku Nogakubu Gakuzyutu Hokoku = Technical Bulletin of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kagawa University*, 42: 7-13. [\[Link\]](#)
- Yasar S & Forbes JM. 2000. Enzyme supplementation of dry and wet wheat-based feeds for broiler chickens: performance and gut responses. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 84: 297-307. [\[Link\]](#)